

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Los Angeles

Post-Pitch World: Timbre as the Primary Element of Form

A dissertation submitted in partial satisfaction of the
requirements for the degree Doctor of Philosophy
in Music

by

Arash Majd

2019

© Copyright by

Arash Majd

2019

ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

Post-Pitch World: Timbre as the Primary Element of Form

by

Arash Majd

Doctor of Philosophy in Music

University of California, Los Angeles, 2019

Professor Ian Krouse, Co-Chair

Professor David S. Lefkowitz, Co-Chair

While pitch has been the primary element of form for many centuries, this dissertation considers the possibility of using timbre as the primary element of form in a musical composition. It explores the different mindsets, structural procedures, and techniques which contribute to the construction of form in the absence of pitch. As its primary case study, this dissertation analyzes *Crama* by Panayiotis Kokoras (b.1974) to discover the practical applications of a piece formally organized by timbre, including over one hundred figures that analyze *Crama* in smaller sections. These figures express the timbre of each instrument, and then compare the timbre of each instrument to all other instruments before discussing the results. Most of the figures include a short conclusion that explains how timbre supports the form in this piece. The conclusion chapter draws from the case study chapters and suggests even more options to create a sound-based composition.

The dissertation of Arash Majd is approved.

Kay Rhie

Travis J. Cross

Peter Yates

David S. Lefkowitz, Committee Co-Chair

Ian Krouse, Committee Co-Chair

University of California, Los Angeles

2019

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|--------|
| Introduction | Page 1 |
| Chapter 1: Attempt | P. 7 |
| Chapter 2: Preparation for Case Study | P. 21 |
| Chapter 3: Anatomy of timbre | P. 30 |
| Chapter 4: Progression of the Five Contributing Elements of Sound and Form in the Clarinet | P. 63 |
| Chapter 5: Transparent in the Flux of Time | P. 71 |
| Chapter 6: Noisiest Instrument in Crama | P. 80 |
| Chapter 7: Cello as the Leader of the String Section | P. 89 |
| Chapter 8: The Importance of the Piano | P. 98 |
| Chapter 9: Overall Average Values | P. 108 |
| Chapter 10: Flute Compared to Other Instruments: Fictitious Timbral Recapitulation | P. 114 |
| Chapter 11: Clarinet Compared to Other Instruments: The Disappearance of Zero | P. 128 |
| Chapter 12: Violin Compared to Other Instruments: Unpredictable Appearance of Timbral Space | P. 137 |
| Chapter 13: Viola Compared to Other Instruments: Extreme Parallelism and Vague Structures | P. 146 |
| Chapter 14: Cello Compared to Other Instruments: The Single Appearance of Zero | P. 153 |
| Chapter 15: Piano Compared to other Instruments: The Multiple Appearance of Zero | P. 160 |
| Conclusion and More | P. 170 |
| Index | P. 182 |
| Volume 2: Metamorphosis on a Holophonic Texture | P. 234 |

List of Figures

Table 1: Seven contributing element to the quality of sound.

Figure 1: Correlation?

Figure 2: Partial and richness of the sound

Figure 3: Thinness or thickness of sound

Figure 3A: Thinness or thickness of sound

Figure 4: Contrast

Figure 5: Contrast

Figure 6: Descending

Figure 7: Appearance of P# and Th/Tk within the entire Crama in the flute

Figure 7A: Appearance of P# and Th/Tk within the entire Crama in the flute

Figure 8: Imitation and contrast

Figure 9: Bars 21 – 37 Interaction

Figure 11: More correlations than contrast

Figure 11: Less imitation more contrasts in combination

Figure 12: More imitation less Contrast

Figure 13: Imitation at the ending section

Figure 14: The appearance of the P#, Th/Tk, PR elements within the entire piece

Figure 15: Less imitation and more contrast

Figure 16: More imitation and less contrast

Figure 17: More correlations and less contrast

Figure 18: Large arcs

Figure 19: Bars 88 – 134

Figure 20: The importance of noise

Figure 21: Appearance of P#, Th/Tk, PR, N within the entire Crama

Figure 22: Correlations between different elements of sound

Figure 23: Consistent with the opening section

Figure 25: Contrast

Figure 26: Contrast with the previous section bars 88 – 134

Figure 27: The importance of noise

Figure 28: Appearance of P#, Th/TI, PR, N, and AS within the entire piece

Figure 29: More in Parallelism

Figure 30: The complexity of sound and its contribution to the form

Figure 31: The relationship between parallelism and contrast

Figure 32: The richest section

Figure 33: Similarity to other sections, thus far

Figure 34: Appearance of P#, Th/Tk, PR, I, N, AS within the entire piece

Figure 35: The irregularity of sound and noise

Figure 36: Contrast

Figure 37: Increase in the level of contrast

Figure 38: Evolution

Figure 39: Similarities, bars 88 -134

Figure 40: Similarities, bars 135 – 168

Figure 41A: The flute

Figure 41: Clarinet, contrast with the flute

Figure 42: Appearance of all elements in the clarinet

Figure 43: Appearance of P#, Th/TK, PR, I, N within the entire Crama

Figure 44: Almost no contrast

Figure 45: Contrast

Figure 46: The parallelism between the irregularity of sound and the level of noise

Figure 47: The parallelism between the irregularity of sound and the level of noise as well as the number of partials and thinness and thickness of sound category

Figure 48: The ratio of parallelism to contrast, bars 98 -134

Figure 49: The ratio of parallelism to contrast, bars 135 – 167

Figure 50A: Clarinet

Figure 50B: The flute

Figure 50C: The violin

Figure 51: P#, Th/Tk, PR, I, N, in violin, bars 161 – 170

Figure 52: Appearance of P#, Th/Tk, PR, N, in the flute in Crama

Figure 53: Appearance of P#, Th/Tk, PR, N, in the clarinet in Crama

Figure 54: Appearance of P#, Th/Tk, PR, N, in the Violin in Crama

Figure 55: Almost no contrast in the viola

Figure 56: Spike and drop as the primary element of shape

Figure 57: Interactivity

Figure 58: The continuity of interactivity, bars 104 – 134

Figure 58: The continuity of interactivity, bars 135 – 167

Figure 59: The flute

Figure 59: The clarinet

Figure 59: The violin

Figure 59: The viola

Figure 60: The appearance of P#, Th/Tk, PR, I, N, AS, in the flute in Crama

Figure 61: The appearance of P#, Th/Tk, PR, I, N, AS, in the clarinet in Crama

Figure 62: The appearance of P#, Th/Tk, PR, I, N, AS, in the violin in Crama

Figure 63: Different elements of sound in the viola for the entire piece

Figure 64: Contrast with the violin and viola

Figure 65: Change of contrast

Figure 66: Similarities to the viola in figure 56

Figure 67: Reminiscence of the opening section

Figure 68: Similarity to the violin and viola, bars 88 – 134

Figure 69: Similarity to the violin and viola, bars 135 – 170

Figure 70: Appearance of all elements in the cello, bars 161 – 170

Figure 71: Appearance of all elements except the irregularity of sound in the flute for the entire piece

Figure 72: Appearance of all elements in the clarinet in the entire piece

Figure 73: Appearance of all elements in the violin for the entire piece

Figure 74: Appearance of all elements in the viola for the entire piece

Figure 75: Appearance of all elements in the cello for the entire piece

Figure 76: Unclear shape

Figure 77: The interaction of all elements of sound in the flute

Figure 78: The appearance of clarity in shapes

Figure 79: More Parallelism and less contrast

Figure 80: Extreme contrast compare to others

Figure 81: Similarities to the same section in the violin, viola, and cello, bars 88 – 134

Figure 82: Similarities to the same section in the violin, viola, and cello, bars 135 – 167

Figure 83: Appearance of all elements except irregularity of sound in the flute for the entire piece

Figure 84: Appearance of all elements in the clarinet in the entire piece

Figure 85: Appearance of all elements in the violin for the entire piece

Figure 86: Appearance of all elements in the viola for the entire piece

Figure 87: Appearance of all elements in the cello for the entire piece

Figure 88: Appearance of all elements in the piano for the entire piece

Figure 89: No name

Figure 90: No name

Figure 91: No name

Figure 92: No name

Figure 93: Bars 88 – 134

Figure 94: Bars 135 – 167

Figure 95: No name

Figure 96: The flute vs. flute: comparison of bar 1 to 1,2,3,4...166, bars 1 – 62

Figure 96: The flute vs. flute: comparison of bar 1 to 1,2, 3, 4...166, bars 63 -120

Figure 96: The flute vs. flute: comparison of bar 1 to 1,2, 3, 4...166 bars 121 -170

Figure 97: The flute vs. clarinet mm.38-61

Figure 98: The flute vs. violin mm.21-37

Figure 99: The flute vs. viola mm.5-20

Figure 100: The flute vs. cello mm.88-106

Figure 100 A: The flute vs. cello mm.109-120

Figure 101: The flute vs. piano mm.70-87

Figure 103: The clarinet vs. clarinet, bars 1 – 60

Figure 103: The clarinet vs. clarinet, bars 108 – 144

Figure 103: The clarinet vs. clarinet, bars 145 – 170

Figure 104: The clarinet vs. flute mm. 4-20

Figure 106: The clarinet vs. violin mm.70-87

Figure 107: The clarinet vs. viola mm.121-161

Figure 108: The clarinet vs. cello mm.121-161

Figure 109: The clarinet vs. piano mm.71-86

Figure 111: The violin vs. flute 88-119

Figure 112: The violin vs. clarinet mm.38-58

Figure 113: The violin vs. viola mm.120-159

Figure 114: The violin vs. cello mm.5-14

Figure 115: The violin vs. piano mm.70-87

Figure 116: The viola vs. viola

Figure 117: The viola vs. flute mm. 70 -83

Figure 118: The viola vs. clarinet mm.103-118

Figure 119: The viola vs. violin mm.21-37

Figure 120: The viola vs. cello mm.21-34

Figure 121: The viola vs. piano mm.21-34

Figure 122: The cello vs. cello

Chart1: The first and last appearance of zero

Figure 123: The cello vs. flute mm.1-20

Figure 124: The cello vs. clarinet mm.5-14

Figure 125: The cello vs. violin mm.162-167

Figure 125A: The cello vs. piano mm.5-14

Figure 126: The cello vs. viola mm.21-37

Figure 127: The cello vs. piano mm.21-37

Chart 2: The first and last appearance of zero

Figure 129: The piano vs. flute mm.1-16

Figure 130: The piano vs. clarinet mm.21-37

Figure 131: The piano vs. violin mm.21-37

Figure 132: The piano vs. viola mm.38-68

Table 2. Timbral Icv's for all instruments in Crama

Glossary:

To make this work comprehensible to the reader, I define all terminology used in this dissertation in this glossary. To support my analysis of Crama by Panayiotis Kokoras (b.1974), I read Kokoras's writings and was influenced by the bibliography he used. I also developed my own terminology to describe and clarify different events in this paper.

Terms of STASIS

Elements / Contributing elements of form: Different components or aspects that contribute to the creation of form.

Elements of sound: Seven different elements (table one) that contribute to the quality of sound.

Timbre: The quality of sound. Table one indicates seven elements that define timbre or the quality of sound in this paper.

Table.1 contributing elements to the quality of sound.

| Seven contributing elements to timbre or the quality of sound. |
|--|
| 1. Number of partials = P# (1 = fewer, 9 = more) |
| 2. Thinness or thickness of sound = Th/Tk (1 = thin, 9 = rich) |
| 3. Range of strongest partials = PR (1 = low, 9 = high) or, better: (1 = bottom, 9 = top) |
| 4. Irregularity of sound = I (1 = irregular, 9 = regular) |
| 5. Amount of noise = N (1 = clean, pure, 9 = noisy) |
| 6. Sharpness of attack = AS (1 = gentle, 9 = sharp) |
| 7. Noisiness of attack = AN (1 = non-noisy attack, 9 = noisy attack) |

Anatomy of timbre: The components in table 1 that contribute to timbre. Imagine the anatomy of the human body, consisting of the heart, kidney, lungs, and so on. The seven contributing elements in table one can be considered the components or the anatomy of timbre.

Minimum and maximum values: These values derive from the subtraction of the absolute timbral values of each instrument. The minimum value indicates smaller timbral values, and the maximum values indicate larger timbral values.

Timbral space: Derived from the subtraction of minimum from maximum values. For example, if the maximum value is 20 and the minimum value is 15, the timbral space between the two instruments is 5.

Noise: The absence of pitch.

Terms of SEPARATION

Section: A specific range of measure numbers that express more than one musical idea.

Subsection: A specific range of measure numbers that express only one musical idea.

Phrase: A musical sentence that suggests a beginning, a possible climax, and an ending.

Shape: A phrase that suggests an arc-like shape.

Form: An organized and meaningful presentation of different sections that create logic concerning the overall structure in a musical composition.

Structure: The overall procedure to organize the form in a musical composition. Also, the logical process of creating a section.

Module: A small motivic idea, usually consisting of fewer than eight cells, which usually but not always repeats itself either solely or as part of a texture.

Figure: A chart line that shows a timbral progression of one or more instruments. It can exhibit different shapes as a result of activity from the contributing elements of the quality of sound.

Sound unit: Similar to module except that it should be pitch-less.

Sound-based composition: According to Kokoras, “In sound-based composition, sound replaces the musical note as the fundamental structural unit.”¹

Terms of CHANGE

Timbral State: The appearance of a particular timbre.

Start: The beginning of a phrase.

Return: Any identical repetition of an idea, module, or sound unit.

Transformation: When an idea, in a section, module, or sound unit, undergoes a process and changes to a variation of that idea but retains an essence of the old idea.

Continuity of sound: The consistent appearance of a timbral state via repetition or transformation.

Forward Motion: Motion that is driven by the transformation or repetition of similar or identical timbral values.

Process: A series of procedures that contribute to the transformation of timbral state A to timbral state B.

Progression: The progress of an idea or a timbral state.

Progression of timbres or timbral progression: The progress of changing the absolute timbral value, or timbre, from point A to point B.

Force: Energy

¹ Panayiotis Kokoras, “Sound Composition,” Panayiotis KOKORAS – Projects, August, 2016, <http://www.panayiotiskokoras.com/en/projects.html>.

Evolving: Change or progression.

Growth: A process which contributes to the creation of shape, form, section, and musical meaning to a timbral state, module, or sound unit.

Decay: Fading away from a timbral state.

Repetition: The consistent appearance of identical absolute timbral values, modules, or sound units.

Periodicity: The consistent repetition of identical absolute timbral values, modules, or sound units within a specific interval of time.

Structuring: The process of organizing or creating a phrase, subsection, section, and form in a musical composition.

Variation: A different version of the same timbral state.

Contribution: Compositional tools to support or create the appearance of shape, phrase, subsection, section, and timbral state in a sound-based composition.

Terms of RELATIONSHIP

Parallelism: The relationship between two parallel lines that each express a particular value and never cross each other. These values are the result of either comparison between the absolute timbral value of two instruments or an absolute timbral value that is derived from the sum of seven contributing elements to the quality of sound (table one).

Deviation: When two lines move away from each other without a parallel relationship. To move away from or not meet a particular number.

Contrast: Two lines that move away or deviate from each other, and do not display a parallel relationship. Two shapes, phrases, subsections, sections, or timbral states that have almost nothing in common.

Similarity: When two timbral states, shapes, phrases, subsections, or sections are alike.

Imitation: The repetition of identical or similar absolute timbral values in different contributing elements of sound, instruments, or modules.

Interrelation or interactivity: The numerically fluctuating relationship between the seven contributing elements of the quality of sound.

Dissonant relationship: The disagreement between different modules or partials.

Terms of QUALITY

Granularity: The level of density.

Density: The dissonant or disagreeing relationship between different layers, modules, and the contributing elements of the quality of sound.

Texture: The consonant or dissonant relationship between different layers, modules, and the contributing elements of the quality of sound. Texture is analogous to density.

Active bars: The appearance of music within a bar or range of bars. Rests are non-active bars.

Saturation: The level of activity that contributes to a musical texture. If the level of activity in different layers, modules, or the contributing elements of the quality of sound is high, the level of saturation is high, and vice versa.

Biographical Sketch:

Arash Majd's music is inspired by timbre, noise, and pitch. He uses timbre as the primary element of form in his works. His latest works employ noise as the main component, and pitch as the secondary component, of timber. His early work has been described by Stephan Smoliar of the San Francisco Examiner as "highly expressive, showing great respect for both traditional forms and contrapuntal techniques, as significant to the serial style as they were to the emergence of sophisticated counterpoint during the Renaissance."

Arash has collaborated with Yarnlwire ENSEMBLE, Lyris Quartet, Ensemble TM+, Winsor Trio, Moscow Contemporary Music Ensemble, Friction Quartet, Elements of Nimbus Ensemble, Tina Guo, Ball State University Saxophone Quartet, Choreographers Batina Essaka & Stefan Poetzsch, and Peter Yates. He was the Alternate Winner of the ASCAP/SCI Commission Composition Competition, in Region VII in 2013. He was also the semi-finalist and finalist for the American Prize in 2013 and 2014, respectively. Arash's principal teachers are David S. Lefkowitz, Ian Krouse, and Richard Danielpour.